arXiv:1602.01996v1 [math.CO] 5 Feb 2016

COUNTING SPANNING TREES ON FRACTAL GRAPHS AND THEIR ASYMPTOTIC COMPLEXITY

JASON A. ANEMA AND KONSTANTINOS TSOUGKAS

ABSTRACT. Using the method of spectral decimation and a modified version of Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, a closed form solution to the number of spanning trees on approximating graphs to a fully symmetric self-similar structure on a finitely ramified fractal is given in Theorem 3.4. We show how spectral decimation implies the existence of the asymptotic complexity constant and obtain some bounds for it. Examples calculated include the Sierpiński Gasket, a non post critically finite analog of the Sierpiński Gasket, the Diamond fractal, and the Hexagasket. For each example, the asymptotic complexity constant is found.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of counting the number of spanning trees in a finite graph dates back more than 150 years. It is one of the oldest and most important graph invariants, and has been actively studied for decades. Kirchhoff's famous Matrix-Tree Theorem [30], appearing in 1847, relates properties of electrical networks and the number spanning trees. There are now a large variety of proofs for the Matrix-Tree Theorem, for some examples see [8, 13, 27]. Counting spanning trees is a problem of fundamental interest in mathematics [7, 9, 12, 31, 52, e.g.] and physics [21, 22, 53, 54, 55, e.g.]. Its relation to probability theory was explored in [32, 34]. It has found applications in theoretical chemistry, relating to the enumeration of certain chemical isomers [11], and as a measure of network reliability in the theory of networks [18].

Recently, various authors have studied the number of spanning trees and the associated asymptotic complexity constants on regular lattices in [15, 16, 17, 39, 51]. A natural question is to also consider spanning trees on self-similar fractal lattices, as they exhibit scale invariance rather than translation invariance. In [14] S.C. Chang, L.C. Chen, and W.S. Yang calculated the number of spanning trees on the sequence of graph approximations to the Sierpiński Gasket of dimension two, three, and four, as well as for two generalized Sierpiński Gaskets ($SG_{2,3}(n)$ and $SG_{2,4}(n)$), and conjecture a formula for the number of spanning trees on the $d-dimensional$ Sierpiński Gasket at stage n, for general d. Their method of proof uses a decomposition argument to derive multi-dimensional polynomial recursion equations to be solved. Independently, that same year, E. Teufl and S. Wagner [43] give the number of spanning trees on the Sierpiński Gasket of dimension two at stage n, using the same argument. In [44] they expand on this work, contructing graphs by a replacement procedure yielding a sequence of self-similar graphs (this notion of self-similarity is different than in [29]), which include the Sierpiński graphs. For a variety of enumeration problems, including counting

Date: February 8, 2016.

spanning trees, they show that their construction leads to polynomial systems of recurrences and provide methods to solve these recurrences asymptotically. Using the same construction technique in [46], they give, under the assumptions of $strong\ symmetry$ (see [46, section 2.2]) and connectedness, a closed form equation for the number of spanning trees [46, Theorem 4.2]. This formulation requires calculating the resistance scaling factor and the tree scaling factor (defined in [46, Theorem 4.1] and [45], [47]).

In this paper we study the enumeration of spanning trees of fractal graphs via a spectral approach. The central result of the present work, Theorem 3.4, relies on the technique of spectral decimation studied among others in [3, 4, 23, 37] to describe how to calculate, in an analytic fashion, the number of spanning trees of the sequence of graph approximations to self-similar fully symmetric finitely ramified fractals. The idea is that the number of spanning trees on a finite, connected, loopless, graph is given by a normalized product of the non-zero eigenvalues of the graph's probabilistic Laplacian. The fractal graphs considered here have the advantage that we can calculate those eigenvalues explicitly, as preiterates of a particular rational function. This enables one to calculate their product explicitly, and hence calculate the number of spanning trees. Section 2 of this work will set up some notation and preliminaries. In section 3 the main result of this work is presented. Theorem 3.4 allows one to write down a closed formula for the number of spanning trees on the class of fractal graphs considered. A nice corollary of this is the fact that such formulas remain simple. In section 4, we study the asymptotic complexity constant of the sequence of graphs and obtain a sharp lower bound for it and an upper bound involving only the number of contractions and the number of vertices on the first two approximations of the fractal graphs. We also give an alternate proof motivating as to why the asymptotic complexity constant exists. Section 5 includes a plethora of examples showing how to use Theorem 3.4.

This work is an amalgamation and expansion of the first author's work in [2] and the second author's work in [48].

2. Background and Preliminaries

2.1. **Graph and Probabilistic Graph Laplacians.** Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem relates a normalized product of the non-zero eigenvalues of the graph Laplacian to the number of spanning trees of a loopless connected graph, since fractal graphs are always connected and loopless we will make this assumption henceforward. However, using the method of spectral decimation one is only able to find the eigenvalues of the probabilistic graph Laplacian for a specified class of fractal graphs, so a suitable version of Kirchhoff's theorem for probabilistic graph Laplacians must be found. Working in that direction, recall that for any graph $T = (V, E)$ having n labelled vertices $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$, with vertex set V and edge set E, the graph Laplacian G on T is defined by $G = D - A$, where $D = ((d_{ij}))$ is the degree matrix on T with $d_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $d_{ii} = deg(v_i)$, and $A = ((a_{ij}))$ is the adjacency matrix on T with a_{ij} is the number of copies of $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$. The probabilistic graph Laplacian of T is defined by $P = D^{-1}G$. Let I be the $n \times n$ identity matrix,

$$\chi(G) = |G - xI| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i^G x^i,$$

and

$$\chi(P) = |P - xI| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i^P x^i,$$

be the characteristic polynomials of G and P, respectively. Let $S := \{1, 2, ..., n-1, n\}$. If $\theta \subseteq S$, then let $\bar{\theta}$ denote the complement of θ in S. For any $n \times n$ matrix C and any $\theta \subseteq S$, let $C(\theta)$ denote the principal submatrix of C formed by deleting all rows and columns not indexed by an element of θ. From [19], we have that for any $m \times m$ diagonal matrix B, and any $m \times m$ matrix C,

$$|B + C| = \sum_{\theta \subseteq S} |B(\bar{\theta})| \cdot |C(\theta)|,$$

where the summation is over all subsets $S = \{1, ..., m\}$. Using this observation and expanding term by term it follows that

$$c_{n-i}^G = (-1)^{n-i} \sum_{|\theta|=i} |D(\theta)| \cdot |P(\theta)| \tag{1}$$

and

$$c_{n-i}^P = (-1)^{n-i} \sum_{|\theta|=i} |P(\theta)|. \tag{2}$$

Now, assume that T is connected and loopless,we expand these polynomials, compare c_1^G with c_1^P and apply Kirchhoff's Matrix Tree Theorem and we arrive that the following theorem, originally shown in [36]. This is the version of the Matrix-Tree Theorem that will be used in this work.

Theorem 2.1 (Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem for Probabilistic Graph Laplacians)**.** *For any connected, loopless graph T with n labelled vertices, the number of spanning trees of T is*

$$\tau(T) = \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} d_j\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j\right)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j^P\right),$$

where $\{\lambda_j^P\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ are the non-zero eigenvalues of P.

The Laplacian matrix is a singular matrix and therefore has determinant zero. However, we can denote the above product of the non-zero eigenvalues as $\det^\star P$. This "determinant" is of special interest and connections with the regularized determinant of the Laplace operator have been studied in [17].

2.2. **Fractal Graphs.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If $f_i : X \to X$ is a contraction with respect to the metric d for $i = 1, 2, ... m$, then there exist a unique non-empty compact subset K of X that satisfies

$$K = f_1(K) \cup \cdots \cup f_m(K).$$

K is called the $self\text{-}similar\ set\ with\ respect\ to\ \{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\}$.

If each f_i is injective and for any n and for any two distinct words ω, $\omega' \in W_n$=$\{1,...m\}^n$ we have

$$K_\omega \cap K_{\omega'} = F_\omega \cap F_{\omega'}$$

where f_ω=$f_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_n}$, K_ω=$f_\omega(K)$, F_0 is the set of fixed points of $\{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\}$, and $F_\omega = f_\omega(F_0)$, then K is called a $finitely\ ramified\ self\text{-}similar\ set\ with\ respect\ to$ $\{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\}$.

For any self-similar set, K, with respect to $\{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\}$, there is a natural sequence of $approximating\ graphs$ G_n with vertex set V_n defined as follows. For all $n \geq 0$ and for all $\omega \in W_n$, define G_0 as the complete graph with vertices V_0,

$$V_n := \bigcup_{\omega \in W_n} V_\omega,$$

$$V_\omega := \bigcup_{x \in V_0} V_\omega(x),$$

where $V_\omega := f_{a_n} \circ f_{a_{n-1}} \circ \cdots f_{a_1}$ and $\omega = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. Also, $x, y \in V_n$ are connected by an edge in G_n if $f_i^{-1}(x)$ and $f_i^{-1}(y)$ are connected by an edge in G_{n-1} for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let K be a compact metrizable topological space and S be a finite set. Also, let F_i be a continuous injection from K to itself $\forall i \in S$. Then, $(K, S, \{F_i\}_{i \in S})$ is called a $self\text{-}similar\ structure$ if there exists a continuous surjection $\pi : \Sigma \to K$ such that $F_i \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma_i\ \forall i \in S$, where $\Sigma = S^{\mathbb{N}}$ the one-sided infinite sequences of symbols in S and $\sigma_i : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is defined by $\sigma_i(\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3...) = i\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3...$ for each $\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3... \in \Sigma$

Clearly, if K is the self-similar set with respect to injective contractions $\{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\}$, then $(K, \{1, 2, ...m\}, \{f_i\}_{i=1}^m)$ is a self-similar structure.

Notice that two non-isomorphic self-similar structures can have the same finitely ramified self-similar set, however the structures will not have the same sequence of approximating graphs G_n. Also, any two isomorphic self-similar structures whose compact metrizable topological spaces are finitely ramified self-similar sets will have approximating graphs which are isomorphic $\forall n \geq 0$.

A $fully\ symmetric$ finitely ramified self-similar structure with respect to $\{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\}$ is a self-similar structure $(K, \{1, 2, ...m\}, \{f_1, f_2, ...f_m\})$ such that K is a finitely ramified self-similar set, and, as in [3], for any permutation $\sigma : F_0 \to F_0$ there is an isometry $g_\sigma : K \to K$ that maps any $x \in F_0$ into $\sigma(x)$ and preserves the self-similar structure of K. This means that there is a map $\tilde{g_\sigma} : W_1 \to W_1$ such that $f_i \circ g_\sigma = g_\sigma \circ f_{\tilde{g_\sigma}(i)}\ \forall i \in W_1$. The group of isometries g_σ is denoted $\mathfrak{G}$.

As in [28], the definition of a fully symmetric finitely ramified self-similar structure may be combined into one compact definition.

Definition 2.2. *A fractal K is a fully symmetric finitely ramified self-similar set if K is a compact connected metric space with injective contraction maps on a complete metric space $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^m$ such that*

$$K = f_1(K) \cup \cdots \cup f_m(K),$$

and the following three conditions hold:

(1) there exist a finite subset F_0 of K such that

$$f_j(K) \cap f_k(K) = f_j(F_0) \cap f_k(F_0)$$

for $j \neq k$ (this intersection may be empty);

(2) *if $v_0 \in F_0 \cap f_j(K)$ then v_0 is the fixed point of f_j;*
(3) *there is a group $\mathcal{G}$ of isometries of K that has a doubly transitive action on F_0 and is compatible with the self-similar structure $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^m$, which means that for any j and any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ there exist a k such that*

$$g^{-1} \circ f_j \circ g = f_k.$$

3. Counting Spanning Trees on Fractal Graphs

Let K be a fully symmetric finitely ramified self-similar structure, G_n be its sequence of approximating graphs, and P_n denote the probabilistic graph Laplacian of G_n.

The next two propositions describe the spectral decimation process, which inductively gives the spectrum of P_n.

The G_0 network is the complete graph on the boundary set and we set $m = |V_0|$. Write P_1 in block form

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is a square block matrix associated to the boundary points. Since the G_1 network never has an edge joining two boundary points, A is the mxm identity matrix. The Schur Complement of P_1 is

$$S(z) = (A - zI) - B(D - z)^{-1}C$$

Proposition 3.1. *(Bajorin, et al.,*[3]*) For a given fully symmetric finitely ramified self-similar structure K there are unique scalar valued rational functions $\phi(z)$ and $R(z)$ such that for $z \notin \sigma(D)$*

$$S(z) = \phi(z)(P_0 - R(z))$$

Now P_0 has entries $a_{ii} = 1$ and $a_{ij} = \frac{-1}{m-1}$ for $i \neq j$. Looking at specific entries of this matrix valued equation we get two scalar valued equations

$$\phi(z) = -(m-1)S_{1,2}(z)$$

and

$$R(z) = 1 - \frac{S_{1,1}}{\phi(z)},$$

where $S_{i,j}$ is the i, j entry of the matrix $S(z)$.

Now, we let

$$E(P_0, P_1) := \sigma(D) \bigcup \{z : \phi(z) = 0\}$$

and call $E(P_0, P_1)$ the exceptional set.
Let $mult_D(z)$ be the multiplicity of z as an eigenvalue of D, $mult_n(z)$ be the multiplicity of z as an eigenvalue of P_n, $mult_n(z) = 0$ if and only if z is not an eigenvalue of P_n, and similarly $mult_D(z) = 0$ if and only if z is not and eigenvalue of D. Then we may inductively find the spectrum of P_n with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *(Bajorin, et al.,*[3]*) For a given fully symmetric finitely ramified self-similar structure K, and $R(z)$, $\phi(z)$, $E(P_0, P_1)$ as above, the spectrum of P_n may be calculated inductively using the following criteria:*

(1) *if $z \notin E(P_0, P_1)$, then*

$$mult_n(z) = mult_{n-1}(R(z))$$

(2) *if $z \notin \sigma(D)$, $\phi(z) = 0$ and $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z then,*

$$mult_n(z) = |V_{n-1}|$$

(3) *if $z \in \sigma(D)$, both $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ have poles at z, $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}R(z) \neq 0$, then*

$$mult_n(z) = m^{n-1}mult_D(z) - |V_{n-1}| + mult_{n-1}(R(z))$$

(4) *if $z \in \sigma(D)$, but $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ do not have poles at z, and $\phi(z) \neq 0$,then*

$$mult_n(z) = m^{n-1}mult_D(z) + mult_{n-1}(R(z))$$

(5) *if $z \in \sigma(D)$, but $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ do not have poles at z, and $\phi(z) = 0$,then*

$$mult_n(z) = m^{n-1}mult_D(z) + |V_{n-1}| + mult_{n-1}(R(z))$$

(6) *if $z \in \sigma(D)$, both $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ have poles at z, $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}R(z) = 0$, then*

$$mult_n(z) = m^{n-1}mult_D(z) - |V_{n-1}| + 2mult_{n-1}(R(z))$$

(7) *if $z \notin \sigma(D)$, $\phi(z) = 0$ and $R(z)$ has a pole at z, then $mult_n(z) = 0$.*
(8) *if $z \in \sigma(D)$, but $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ do not have poles at z, $\phi(z) = 0$ and $R(z)$ has a pole at z, then*

$$mult_n(z) = m^{n-1}mult_D(z).$$

We can decompose the spectrum into two finite sets A and B of eigenvalues such that taking preiterates is not allowed and is allowed respectively and define for $\alpha \in A$, $\alpha_n := mult_n(\alpha)$ and for $\beta \in B$, $\beta_n^k := mult_n(R_{(-k)}(\beta))$.

Since G_n is connected $mult_n(0) = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Again from [3], we get that

$$\sigma(P_n) \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \{\alpha\} \bigcup_{\beta \in B} \left[\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \{R_{-k}(\beta) : \beta_n^k \neq 0\} \right].$$

Hence the non-zero eigenvalues of P_n are the zeros of polynomials or preiterates of rational functions. To be able to use Theorem 2.1, we need to know how to take the product of preiterates of rational functions of a particular form. The proof of Theorem 3.4 will show that $R(z)$ satisfies the assumptions of the next Lemma, and use this information to be able to calculate the number of spanning trees on the fractal graphs under consideration.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $R(z)$ be a rational function such that $R(0) = 0$, $\deg(R(z)) = d$, $R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$, with $\deg(P(z)) > \deg(Q(z))$. Let P_d be the leading coefficient of $P(z)$. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\{R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\}$ be the set of n^{th} preiterates of α under $R(z)$. By convention, $R_{(0)}(\alpha) := \{\alpha\}$. Then for $n \geq 0$,*

$$\prod_{z \in \{R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\}} z = \alpha \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d} \right)^{\left(\frac{d^n - 1}{d-1}\right)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. For $n = 0$, the result is clear. For $n = 1$, we note

$$\begin{aligned}\{R_{(-1)}(\alpha)\} &= \{z : R(z) = \alpha\} \\ &= \{z : P(z) - \alpha Q(z) = 0\} \\ &= \{z : P_d z^d + \cdots - Q(0)\alpha = 0\},\end{aligned}$$

where $Q(0)$ is the constant term of $Q(z)$. As the product of the roots of a polynomial is equal to the constant term over the coefficient of the highest degree term, we have that

$$\prod_{z\in\{R_{(-1)}(\alpha)\}} z = \frac{-\alpha Q(0)}{P_d}.$$

Assume our equation holds for n. Then for $n+1$ we have

$$\left\{w : w \in R_{(-(n+1))}(\alpha)\right\} = \left\{R_{(-1)}(w) : w \in R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\right\}.$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{w\in\left\{R_{(-(n+1))}(\alpha)\right\}} w = \prod_{w\in\left\{R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\right\}} \left(\prod_{z\in\left\{R_{(-1)}(w)\right\}} z\right) = \prod_{w\in\left\{R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\right\}} \left(\frac{-wQ(0)}{P_d}\right),$$

with the second equality following from the $n = 1$ case.

Since $\left|R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\right| = d^n$ (not necessarily distinct) this equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{w\in\left\{R_{(-(n+1))}(\alpha)\right\}} w &= \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d}\right)^{d^n} \prod_{w\in\left\{R_{(-n)}(\alpha)\right\}} w \\ &= \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d}\right)^{d^n} \cdot (\alpha) \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d}\right)^{\left(\frac{d^n-1}{d-1}\right)} \\ &= \alpha \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d}\right)^{\left(\frac{d^{n+1}-1}{d-1}\right)},\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.4. *For a given fully symmetric self-similar structure on a finitely ramified fractal K, let G_n denote its sequence of approximating graphs and let P_n denote the probabilistic graph Laplacian of G_n. Arising naturally from the spectral decimation process, there is a rational function $R(z)$, which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.3, finite sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\alpha \in A$, $\beta \in B$, and integers $n, k \geq 0$, there exist functions α_n and β_n^k such that the number of spanning trees of G_n is given by*

(3)

$$\tau(G_n) = \left| \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j \right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j \right)} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} \right) \left[\prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\beta^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k} \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d} \right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k \left(\frac{d^k - 1}{d-1} \right)} \right) \right] \right|$$

where d is the degree of $R(z)$, P_d is the leading coefficient of the numerator of $R(z)$, $|V_n|$ is the number of vertices of G_n and d_j is the degree of vertex j in G_n.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. From Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem for probabilistic graph Laplacians (Theorem 2.1), we know that

$$\tau(G_n) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j} \prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1} \lambda_j$$

where λ_j are the non-zero eigenvalues of P_n.

Existence and uniqueness of the rational function $R(z)$ is given Proposition (3.1). After carrying out the inductive calculations using Proposition (3.2) items (1)-(8), we get the sets A and B, and the functions α_n and β_n^k.

To see that the sets A and B are finite. Recall that the functions $R(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ from Proposition (3.2) are rational, thus $R(z)$, $\phi(z)$, and $R(z)\phi(z)$ have finitely many zeroes, poles, and removable singularities. Also, since the matrix D, from writing P_1 in block form to define the Schur Complement, is finite, $\sigma(D)$ is finite. Following items (1)-(8) of Proposition (3.2) these observations imply that A and B are finite sets.

From Proposition (3.2) we know that

$$\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \{\alpha\} \bigcup_{\beta \in B} \left[\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \{R_{-k}(\beta) : \beta_n^k \neq 0\} \right]$$

where the multiplicities of $\alpha \in A$ are given by α_n and the multiplicities of $\{R_{-k}(\beta)\}$ are given by β_n^k. Letting $\lambda_{|V_n|} = 0$.

From items (1)-(8) of Proposition (3.2) it follows that $\forall z \in \{R_{-k}(\beta)\}$ the multiplicity of z depends only on n and k, thus

$$\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1} \lambda_j = \left(\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} \right) \left[\prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n} \left(\prod_{z \in \{R_{-k}(\beta)\}} z^{\beta_n^k} \right) \right) \right]$$

From Lemma 4.9 in [33], $R(0) = 0$. From Corollary 1 in [28], it follows that, if we write $R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$ where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are relatively prime polynomials, then $deg(P(z)) > deg(Q(z))$. Thus, the conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied, and

applying this theorem gives

$$= \left(\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} \right) \left[\prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n} \left(\beta \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d} \right)^{\frac{d^k-1}{d-1}} \right)^{\beta_n^k} \right) \right]$$

$$= \left(\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} \right) \left[\prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\beta^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k} \left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d} \right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k \left(\frac{d^k-1}{d-1} \right)} \right) \right]$$

Applying Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem for probabilistic graph Laplacians (Theorem 2.1), we verify the result.

□

Section 5 of this work will begin with a well known example, the Sierpiński Gasket, and show how to use this theorem to calculate the number of spanning trees on the fractal graphs under consideration. This theorem will then be used to compute the number of spanning trees for three previously unknown examples.

In [14], the authors derived multidimensional polynomial recursion equations to solve explicity for the number of spanning trees on $SG_d(n)$ with d equal to two, three and four, and on $SG_{d,b}(n)$ with d equal to two and b equal to two and three. They note in that work that it is intriguing that their recursion relations become more and more complicated as b and d increase, but the solutions remain simple, and comment that with their methods, they do not have a good explanation for this. The following corollary explains why the solutions remain simple.

Corollary 3.5. *For a given fully symmetric self-similar structure on a finitely ramified fractal K, with approximating graphs G_n, there exist a finite set of primes $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^r$ and functions $\{f_k : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0\}_{k=1}^r$ such that*

$$\tau(G_n) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{f_k(n)}.$$

Proof of Corollary 3.5. Since $\tau(G_n)$ is a nonnegative integer, it can be factorized into prime numbers. Observing equation (3), we see that the sets A and B are fixed, and self-similarity gives that for any $n \geq 2$ the only prime factors of $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{|V_n|} d_i \right)$ are the prime factors of $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{|V_1|} d_i \right)$. □

4. Asymptotic Complexity

Let T_n for $n \geq 0$ be a sequence of finite graphs, $|T_n|$ the number of vertices in T_n, and $\tau(T_n)$ denote the number of spanning trees of T_n. $\tau(T_n)$ is called the *complexity* of T_n. The *asymptotic complexity* of the sequence T_n is defined as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{log(\tau(T_n))}{|T_n|}.$$

When this limit exist, it is called the *asymptotic complexity constant*, or the *tree entropy* of T_n.

For any two, finite, connected graphs G_1, G_2, let $G_1 \vee_{x_1,x_2} G_2$ denote the graph formed by identifying the vertex $x_1 \in G_1$ with vertex $x_2 \in G_2$. Then $\forall x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2$, it is clear that

$$\tau(G_1 \vee_{x_1,x_2} G_2) = \tau(G_1) \cdot \tau(G_2). \tag{4}$$

If we were to drop the assumption of full symmetry, we lose the spectral decimation process, but still have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For a given self-similar structure on a finitely ramified fractal K, let G_n denote its sequence of approximating graphs. Let m denote the number of 0-cells of the G_1 graph.*

(1) If G_1 is a tree, then $\tau(V_n) = 1\ \forall n \geq 0$
(2) If G_1 is not a tree and $|V_0| > 2$, then $log(\tau(G_n)) \in \theta(|V_n|) = \theta(m^n)$ and

$$\frac{\log 3}{2} \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \tau(G_n)}{|V_n|} \leqslant \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \tau(G_n)}{|V_n|} \leqslant \log\left(\frac{(m-1)|V_0|(|V_0|-1)}{|V_1|-|V_0|}\right)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. From [46], we have the formula $|V_n| = m|V_{n-1}| - m|V_0| + |V_1|$ from which we can derive that

$$|V_n| = \frac{m^n(|V_1| - |V_0|) + m|V_0| - |V_1|}{m-1}.$$

Thus we see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|V_n|}{m^n} = \frac{|V_1|-|V_0|}{m-1}$ which, for convenience, we denote as $|V_n| \sim m^n$. If G_1 is a tree, then K is a fractal string. Hence, $\forall n \geq 0$ G_n is a tree. In the case that it is not a tree, then G_n is m^n copies of the G_0 graph and we have that $\tau(G_n) \geq \tau(G_0 \vee_{x,x}^{m^n} G_0)$, where $G_0 \vee_{x,x}^{m^n} G_0$ denotes m^n copies of G_0 each identified to each other at some vertex $x \in V_0$. Then, since the G_0 graph is the complete graph on $|V_0|$ vertices, by Cayley's formula we have that $\tau(G_0) = |V_0|^{(|V_0|-2)}$, and we see

$$\tau(G_0 \vee_{x,x}^{m^n} G_0) = |V_0|^{(|V_0|-2)\cdot m^n}$$

and

$$\tau(G_n) \geq |V_0|^{(|V_0|-2)\cdot m^n}.$$

So for $n \geq 0$,

$$\log(\tau(G_n)) \geq m^n \cdot (|V_0| - 2)\log(|V_0|). \tag{5}$$

Now, regarding the number of vertices, we have the following bound

$$|V_n| \leqslant m^n(|V_0| - 1) + 1.$$

This follows due to the fact that the G_n graph is m^n copies of the G_0 one and therefore we obviously have that $|V_n| \leqslant m^n|V_0|$. However, due to connectivity, some vertices need to overlap. At minimum, one vertex from each 0-cell will overlap which would mean that

$$|V_n| \leqslant m^n|V_0| - 1 - 1 - ... - 1$$

with the number of -1 being as many times as the cells minus one, namely $m^n - 1$ which would give us $|V_n| \leqslant m^n|V_0| - m^n + 1$.
Then we have the following,

$$\frac{1}{|V_n|} \geq \frac{1}{m^n(|V_0|-1)+1} = \frac{1}{m^n\left(|V_0| - 1 + \frac{1}{m^n}\right)}.$$

Then by the inequality (5), we have that

$$\frac{\log(\tau(G_n))}{|V_n|} \geq \frac{m^n(|V_0|-2)\log(|V_0|)}{m^n\left(|V_0|-1+\frac{1}{m^n}\right)},$$

and thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log(\tau(G_n))}{|V_n|} \geq \frac{(V_0-2)\log|V_0|}{|V_0|-1}.$$

However, since $|V_0|$ is an integer strictly greater than two and we can define the function $f:[3,+\infty]\to\mathbb{R},\ f(x)=\frac{(x-2)\log x}{x-1}$ and observe that it has a global minimum at $x=3$ and therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log(\tau(G_n))}{|V_n|} \geq \frac{\log 3}{2}.$$

Thus the asymptotic complexity constant must be at least $\frac{\log 3}{2}$.

Now for the upper bound. First, we observe that if we denote EV_n the cardinality of the edge set of G_n, then we have that $EV_n=\frac{m^n|V_0|(|V_0|-1)}{2}$. This can be seen from the self similarity of the graph and the fact that G_0 is the complete graph on V_0 vertices. Also, we have from Kirchhoff's theorem for probabilistic graph Laplacians that

$$\log\tau(G_n)=\log\frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j\right)}+\log\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1}\lambda_j$$

The first summand becomes $\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}\log d_j-\log\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j$ and by using Jensen's inequality, we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}\log d_j-\log\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}\log d_j\leqslant|V_n|\log\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j}{|V_n|}\right)$$
$$=|V_n|\log\frac{2EV_n}{|V_n|}=|V_n|\log\frac{m^n|V_0|(|V_0|-1)}{|V_n|}.$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|V_n|}{m^n}=\frac{|V_1|-|V_0|}{m-1}$ we get an upper bound for $|V_n|^{-1}\log\frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j\right)}$. Now for the term $\log\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1}\lambda_j$, we know that the trace of the probabilistic graph Laplacian matrix equals $|V_n|$ and therefore as before

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1}\log\lambda_j\leqslant(|V_n|-1)\log\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1}\lambda_j}{|V_n|-1}\right)=(|V_n|-1)\log\frac{|V_n|}{|V_n|-1}$$
$$=\log\left(\frac{|V_n|}{|V_n|-1}\right)^{|V_n|-1}\to\log e=1.$$

Thus $|V_n|^{-1}\log\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|-1}\lambda_j \leqslant 0$ which concludes our proof.

□

In [31] it has been shown that if a sequence of graphs approximates an infinite graph, in a certain sense, then the asymptotic complexity constant exists. Similarly, in the next theorem we show that the assumption of full symmetry, and thus the ability to perform spectral decimation, is enough to guarantee the existence of the asymptotic complexity constant. Its proof is, in spirit, closer to analysis on fractals.

Theorem 4.2. *For any fully symmetric self similar fractal, K, the asymptotic complexity constant of its sequence of approximating graphs exists.*

Before the proof, we state the Stolz-Cesàro Lemma, which will be used.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(a_n)_n$ and $(b_n)_n$ be sequences of real numbers such that $(b_n)_n$ is strictly monotone and divergent to $+\infty$ or $-\infty$. If we have that the following limit exists*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_{n+1}-a_n}{b_{n+1}-b_n}=c,$$

then we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_n}{b_n}=c$

We now present the proof of the Theorem 4.2.

Proof. We want to prove the existence of the limit of the sequence $\frac{\log\tau(G_n)}{|V_n|}$. We already have from the theorem above that the sequence is bounded. Therefore it suffices to check that we do not have any oscillatory behavior. By the full symmetry assumption, we can perform spectral decimation and $\tau(G_n)$ is given by equation (3) and thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\log\tau(G_n) =& \log\left|\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j}\right| + \log\left|\prod_{\alpha\in A}\alpha^{\alpha_n}\right| \\ &+\log\left|\prod_{\beta\in B}\beta^{\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k}\right| + \log\left|\prod_{\beta\in B}\left(\frac{-Q(0)}{P_d}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k\frac{d^k-1}{d-1}}\right|\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore it suffices to prove that the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j-\log\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|}d_j}{|V_n|}$ exists and for each $\alpha\in A$ and $\beta\in B$ the limits

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\alpha_n}{|V_n|},\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k}{|V_n|}\ \text{ and }\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k\frac{d^k-1}{d-1}}{|V_n|}$$

also exist. Summing the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of P_n, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in A}\alpha_n+\sum_{\beta\in B}\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k d^k+1=|V_n|.$$

Since α_n , β_n^k are non-negative integers we see that for each $\alpha\in A$ and $\beta\in B$ that $\frac{\alpha_n}{|V_n|}$, $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k d^k}{|V_n|}$ must be bounded and thus the same holds for $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\beta_n^k}{|V_n|}$ and

$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_n^k\frac{d^k-1}{d-1}}{|V_n|}$. Now, for a given $\alpha\in A$, we have that by the definition of the finite set A that the multiplicities $\alpha_n=\text{mult}_n(\alpha)$ which can be found from Proposition 1.3. above depend only on the eigenvalue α and the level n and in each of the cases of the Proposition we have convergence as $|V_n|\sim m^n$. Now for the remaining limits. Take $\beta\in B$ and $\beta_n^k=\text{mult}_n(R_{-k}(\beta))$. By the general algorithm of the spectral decimation methodology, we have that every pre-iterate of the spectral decimation rational function preserves the multiplicity of the eigenvalues. Therefore, we have that $\beta_{n+1}^k=\beta_n^{k-1}$ for $1\leq k\leq n+1$ and thus the sum of multiplicities at level $n+1$ must be the sum of the multiplicities at level n along with those with generation of birth $n+1$. This is just the following formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\beta_{n+1}^k=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\beta_{n+1}^k+\beta_{n+1}^0=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\beta_n^{k-1}+\beta_{n+1}^0=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_n^k+\beta_{n+1}^0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\beta_{n+1}^k d^k=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\beta_n^k d^k+\beta_{n+1}^0=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\beta_n^{k-1}d^k+\beta_{n+1}^0=d\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_n^k d^k+\beta_{n+1}^0$$

By taking into account that $\frac{|V_{n+1}|}{|V_n|}\to m$ and by looking at the Proposition 1.3, we have a list of possible choices for the term β_{n+1}^0 and as similarly to the case of the eigenvalues in the set A before it must be that $\frac{\beta_{n+1}^0}{V_{n+1}}$ converges to a finite positive constant, which we can call c.

For a general first order linear recurrence $S_{n+1}=f_nS_n+g_n$ we know that it has solution

$$S_n=\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}f_k\right)\left(A+\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{g_m}{\prod_{k=0}^{m}f_k}\right)$$

where A is a constant. From the arguments above, we have that $V_{n+1}=y_nV_n$ where y_n is a sequence such that $y_n\to m$ and $\frac{\beta_{n+1}^0}{V_{n+1}}=c+x_n$ with x_n being a sequence such that $x_n\to 0$. Then for $S_n=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_n^k d^k}{|V_n|}$ we obtain that $S_{n+1}=\frac{d}{y_n}S_n+c+x_n$. Since we know that S_n is bounded, it must be that $\frac{d}{y_n}\leq 1-\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon>0$ and large n. Then

$$S_n=\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{d}{y_k}\right)\left(A+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{c+x_i}{\prod_{k=0}^{i}\frac{d}{y_k}}\right)$$

We care about the limit of $n\to\infty$ so the constant part becomes 0 and we are left with

$$cd^n\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\prod_{k=0}^{i}\frac{y_k}{d}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}y_k}+d^n\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}x_i\prod_{k=0}^{i}\frac{y_k}{d}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}y_k}$$

The second summand goes to 0 as can be seen by the Stolz-Cesàro lemma in the following way. Due to the fact that $\frac{d}{y_n}\leq 1-\epsilon$ we have that $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{y_k}{d}$ is a strictly increasing sequence diverging to $+\infty$. Then,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n}x_i\prod_{k=0}^{i}\frac{y_k}{d}-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}x_i\prod_{k=0}^{i}\frac{y_k}{d}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n}\frac{y_k}{d}-\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{y_k}{d}}=\frac{x_n\prod_{k=0}^{n}\frac{y_k}{d}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{y_k}{d}(\frac{y_n}{d}-1)}\to 0$$

since $y_n\to m$ and $x_n\to 0$.

The first summand is just $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d^{n-i+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{y_k}$ which is a positive series and since S_n is bounded, it must be that it converges. Thus we get existence of $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k d^k}{|V_n|}$. By an exact similar argument, or more easily by the Stolz-Cesàro lemma, we have the existence of the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k}{|V_n|}$ and thus also we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k \frac{d^k-1}{d-1}}{|V_n|}$ exists.

We have that $|V_n|^{-1} \log \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j} \right|$ is bounded and that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j}{|V_n|} = 0$. Moreover the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j}{|V_n|}$ cannot oscillate due to the symmetry of the fractal graph and thus exists, as it is bounded. Thus all the required limits exist and we obtain our result.

□

Thus combining the theorem and proposition above we obtain that for fully symmetric self-similar fractal graphs with $|V_0| > 2$ we have that

$$\frac{\log(3)}{2} \leqslant c_{asymp} \leqslant \log\left(\frac{(m-1)|V_0|(|V_0|-1)}{|V_1|-|V_0|}\right).$$

Remark 4.4. *If we consider the m-Tree fractal we have, by Cayley's formula, that $\tau(G_0) = m^{m-2}$ and thus $\tau(G_n) = m^{(m-2)m^n}$ and $|V_n| = 1+(m-1)m^n$ and thus the asymptotic complexity constant is $\frac{(m-2)\log m}{m-1}$. This shows two things, first there is no universal upper bound on the asymptotic complexity constant and secondly that by considering the 3-Tree fractal, for $m = 3$, we observe that the asymptotic complexity constant is $\frac{\log 3}{2}$ which means that the lower bound is sharp.*

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. **Sierpiński Gasket.** The Sierpiński gasket has been extensively studied (in [40, 4, 29, 35, 5, 20, 23, 38, 41], among others.) It can be constructed as a p.c.f. fractal, in the sense of Kigami [29], in $\mathbb{R}^2$ using the contractions

$$f_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - q_i) + q_i,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where the points q_i are the vertices of an equilateral triangle.



FIGURE 1. The V_1 network of Sierpiński gasket.

In [14], the following theorem was proven. Here we give a new proof using the method described in Section 3 to show how to use Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 5.1. *The number of spanning trees on the Sierpiński gasket at level n is given by*

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{f_n} \cdot 3^{g_n} \cdot 5^{h_n}, \qquad n \geq 0$$

where

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2}\left(3^n - 1\right), g_n = \frac{1}{4}\left(3^{n+1} + 2n + 1\right), \text{ and } h_n = \frac{1}{4}\left(3^n - 2n - 1\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Before applying Theorem 3.4, we make the following observations. It is well known that the G_n network of the Sierpiński gasket has

$$|V_n| = \frac{3^{n+1}+3}{2} \qquad n \geq 0$$

vertices, three of which have degree 2 and the remaining vertices have degree 4. Hence,

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{|V_n|} d_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{|V_n|} d_i} = 2^{3^{n+1}-1} \cdot 3^{-(n+1)}. \tag{7}$$

In [3], they use a result from [4] to carry out spectral decimation for the Sierpiński gasket. In our language, they showed that

$$A = \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\}, B = \left\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}\right\},$$

(I) $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}, \alpha_n = \frac{3^n+3}{2}, \qquad n \geq 0,$
(II) $\beta = \frac{3}{4}, \qquad n \geq 1$

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \frac{3^{n-k-1}+3}{2} & k = 0, \ldots, n-1 \\ 0 & k = n, \end{cases}$$

(III) $\beta = \frac{5}{4}, \qquad n \geq 2$

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \frac{3^{n-k-1}-1}{2} & k = 0, \ldots, n-2 \\ 0 & k = n-1, n \end{cases}$$

and $R(z) = z(5-4z)$. So $d = 2$, $Q(0) = 1$ and $P_d = -4$.

We now use Equation 3 in Theorem 3.4 to calculate $\tau(G_n)$. We have

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{3^n+3}{2}} \tag{8}$$

$$\prod_{\beta\in B}\left(\beta^{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_n^k}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_n^k\left(2^k-1\right)}\right)=$$

$$=\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}+3}{2}\right)}\times\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}+3}{2}\right)\left(2^k-1\right)} \tag{9}$$

$$\times\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}-1}{2}\right)}\times\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}-1}{2}\right)\left(2^k-1\right)}$$

We sum the expressions in the exponents above.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}+3}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(3^n+6n-1\right)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}+3}{2}\right)\left(2^k-1\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(3^n+2^{n+2}-6n-5\right)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}-1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(3^n-2n-1\right)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(\frac{3^{n-k-1}-1}{2}\right)\left(2^k-1\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(3^n-2^{n+2}+2n+3\right).$$

All of these equations are valid for $n\geq 2$. Using equations 3, 7, 8,and 9, and simplifying we get:

$$\tau(G_n)=2^{f_n}\cdot 3^{g_n}\cdot 5^{h_n}\qquad n\geq 2,$$

as desired.For $n=1$, equation 7 still holds and the eigenvalues of the probabilistic graph Laplacian are $\{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{4},\frac{3}{4},0\}$. So by Theorem 2.1, we get that $\tau(G_1)=2\cdot 3^3$. The V_0 network is the complete graph on 3 vertices, thus $\tau(G_0)=3$. Hence the theorem holds for all $n\geq 0$. □

As in [14], we immediately have the following Corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *The asymptotic growth constant for the Sierpiński Gasket is*

$$c=\frac{log(2)}{3}+\frac{log(3)}{2}+\frac{log(5)}{6} \tag{10}$$

5.2. **A Non-p.c.f. Analog of the Sierpiński Gasket.** As described in [4, 6, 42], this fractal is finitely ramified by not p.c.f. in the sense of Kigami. It can be constructed as a self-affine fractal in $\mathbb{R}^2$ using 6 affine contractions. One affine contraction has the fixed point $(0,0)$ and the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6}\\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}\end{pmatrix},$$

and the other five affine contractions can be obtained though combining this one with the symmetries of the equilateral triangle on vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$.

Theorem 5.3. *The number of spanning trees on the non-p.c.f. analog of the Sierpiński gasket at level n is given by*



FIGURE 2. The V_1 network of the non-p.c.f. analog of the Sierpiński gasket.

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{f_n} \cdot 3^{g_n} \cdot 5^{h_n}, \quad n \geq 0$$

where

$$f_n = \frac{2}{25}\left(11 \cdot 6^n - 30n - 11\right),\ g_n = \frac{1}{5}\left(2 \cdot 6^n + 3\right),\ \textit{and}$$
$$h_n = \frac{1}{25}\left(4 \cdot 6^n + 30n - 4\right).$$

Before the proof, we need a few results.

Lemma 5.4. *The G_n network of the non-p.c.f. analog of the Sierpiński gasket, for $n \geq 0$, has*

$$\frac{4 \cdot 6^n + 11}{5}$$

vertices. Among these vertices,

(i) 3 *have degree* 2^{n+1},
(ii) 6^{k-1} *have degree* $3 \cdot 2^{n-k+2}$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$, *and*
(iii) $3 \cdot 6^{k-1}$ *have degree* 2^{n-k+2} *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We first describe how the G_n network is constructed, then prove the Lemma.

For $n = 0$, V_0 is the complete graph on vertices $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, one triangle (the V_0 network) and 3 corners of degree 2 $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ are born at level 0.

For $n = 1$, from the triangle born on level 0, 6 triangles are born. For example one of these triangles is the complete graph on $\{x_2, x_4, x_7\}$. 3 corners of degree 4 are born, they are $\{x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ and one center is born $\{x_7\}$ of degree 12.

For $n \geq 2$, from each triangle born at level $n-1$, 6 triangles are born, 3 corners of degree 4 are born and 1 center of degree 12 is born. Each corner born at level $n-1$ gains 4 edges. Each center born at level $n-1$ gains 12 edges. Each corner born at level $n-2$ gains $2 \cdot 4$ edges. Each center born at level $n-2$ gains $2 \cdot 12$ edges. In general, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, each corner born at level $n-k$ gains $2^{k-1} \cdot 4$ edges, and each center born at level $n-k$ gains $2^{k-1} \cdot 12$ edges. The corners born at level 0 gain 2^n edges.

From this construction we see that, for $n \geq 0$ the G_n network has

$$3 + 4 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 6^j = \frac{4 \cdot 6^n + 11}{5}$$

vertices, as desired.
On the G_n network, for $n \geq 0$, the 3 corners born on level 0 have degree

$$2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^j = 2^{n+1},$$

which verifies item (i).
Following the construction, we see that on the G_n network, for $n \geq 1$, there are 6^{n-1} centers born at level n, each with degree 12. There are 6^{n-2} centers born at level $n-1$, each with degree $12+12$. In general, for $0 \leq k \leq n$, there are 6^{n-k-1} centers born at level n-k, each with degree

$$12 + 12 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^j = 3 \cdot 2^{k+2}.$$

After changing indices, item (ii) follows, noting that item (ii) is a vacuous statement for $n = 0$.
Similarly, for $0 \leq k \leq n$, in the G_n network, there are $3 \cdot 6^{n-k-1}$ corners born at level $n-k$. Each of which have degree

$$4 + 4 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^j = 2^{k+2}.$$

After changing indices, item (iii) follows, noting that item (iii) is a vacuous statement for $n = 0$. □

Corollary 5.5. *For the G_n network of the non-p.c.f. analog of the Sierpiński gasket, for $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j} = 2^{\frac{1}{25}(44 \cdot 6^n + 30n + 6)} \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{5}(6^n - 5n - 6)}.$$

We are now ready for the proof of the main theorem in this section.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. We apply Theorem 3.4. In [4], they use a result from [3] to carry out spectral decimation for the non-p.c.f. analog of the Sierpiński gasket. In our language, they showed that

$$A = \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \right\}, \text{ and } B = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\}.$$

Rephrasing their results in our language, for $n \geq 2$ the following hold:

(I) $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha_n = 6^{n-1} + 1$,
(II) $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} 6^{n-k-2} + 1 & k = 0, \ldots, n-2 \\ 2 & k = n-1 \\ 0 & k = n, \end{cases}$$

(III) $\beta = \frac{5}{4}$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} 6^{n-k-2}+1 & k = 0, \dots, n-2 \\ 2 & k = n-1 \\ 0 & k = n, \end{cases}$$

(IV) $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \dfrac{11 \cdot 6^{n-k-2} - 6}{5} & k = 0, \dots, n-2 \\ 0 & k = n-1, n, \end{cases}$$

(V) $\beta = 1$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \dfrac{6^{n-k} - 6}{5} & k = 0, \dots, n-2 \\ 0 & k = n-1, n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$R(z) = \frac{-24z(z-1)(2z-3)}{14z - 15}.$$

So $d = 3$, $Q(0) = -15$ and $P_d = -48$.

We now use Equation 3 in Theorem 3.4 to calculate $\tau(G_n)$. We have from (I),

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{6^{n-1}+1}. \tag{11}$$

From (II),(III),(IV), and (V), and to calculate

$$\prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\beta^{\sum_{k=0}^n \beta_n^k} \cdot \left(\frac{15}{48}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^n \beta_n^k \left(\frac{d^k - 1}{d-1}\right)} \right), \tag{12}$$

the relevant summations are,

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(6^{n-k-2}+1\right)\right] + 2 = \frac{1}{5}\left(6^{n-1} + 5n + 4\right),$$

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(6^{n-k-2}+1\right)\left(\frac{3^k - 1}{2}\right)\right] + \left(3^{n-1} - 1\right) = \frac{1}{60}\left(4 \cdot 6^{n-1} + 65 \cdot 3^{n-1} - 30n - 39\right),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{11 \cdot 6^{n-k-2} - 6}{5} = \frac{1}{25}\left(11 \cdot 6^{n-1} - 30n + 19\right),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{11 \cdot 6^{n-k-2} - 6}{5}\right)\left(\frac{3^k - 1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{25}\left(22 \cdot 6^{n-2} - 50 \cdot 3^{n-2} + 15n - 2\right), \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{6^{n-k} - 6}{5}\right)\left(\frac{3^k - 1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{50}\left(4 \cdot 6^n - 25 \cdot 3^n + 30n + 21\right).$$

All of these equations are valid for $n \geq 2$ and combining with Corollary 5.5, we see that

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{f_n} \cdot 3^{g_n} \cdot 5^{h_n}, \quad n \geq 2$$

where f_n, g_n, and h_n are as claimed. For $n = 0$, since the V_0 graph is the complete graph on three vertices, $\tau(G_0) = 3$ by Cayley's Formula, as desired. For $n = 1$,

from [4] the eigenvalues of P_1 are $\{\frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 0\}$ and using Corollary 5.5 for $n = 1$, we apply Theorem 2.1 to see that $\tau(G_1) = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2$, as desired. □

Corollary 5.6. *The asymptotic growth constant for the non-p.c.f. analog of the Sierpiński Gasket is*

$$c = \frac{11 \cdot log(2)}{10} + \frac{log(3)}{2} + \frac{log(5)}{5} \tag{13}$$

5.3. **Diamond Fractal.** The diamond self-similar hierarchical lattice appeared as an example in several physics works, including [24], [26], and [25]. In [3], the authors modify the standard results for the unit interval $[0, 1]$ to develop the spectral decimation method for this fractal, hence Theorem 3.4 still applies.



FIGURE 3. The V_1 and V_2 network of the Diamond fractal.

Theorem 5.7. *The number of spanning trees on the Diamond fractal at level n is given by*

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{\frac{2}{3}(4^n-1)} \qquad n \geq 1.$$

Before we begin the proof, we need a few results.

Lemma 5.8. *The G_n network of the Diamond fractal, for $n \geq 1$, has*

$$\frac{(4 + 2 \cdot 4^n)}{3}$$

vertices. Among these vertices,

(i) $2 \cdot 4^{n-k}$ *have degree* 2^k *for* $1 \leq k \leq n-1$
(ii) 4 *have degree* 2^n.

Remark 5.9. *In* [3]*, the number of vertices of $|V_n|$ is incorrect as stated in Theorem 7.1(ii). We correct this here and provide a proof.*

Proof of Lemma 5.8. We first describe how the G_n network is constructed, then prove the Lemma. When $n = 1$, V_1 has four vertices of degree 2 and one diamond, this diamond is the graph of V_1. We say these vertices and diamond are born at level 1.

When $n = 2$, from the diamond born on level 1, 4 diamonds are born. We say these diamonds are born on level 2. For each of the diamonds born on level 2, 2 vertices of degree 2 are born. We say these vertices are born on level 2. Using the notation $G =< V, E >$ where G is the graph, V is the graph's vertex set and E is the graph's edge set. An example diamond born at level 2 is $< V, E >$, where

$$V = \{x_1, x_5, x_2, x_9\}$$

$$E = \{x_1x_5, x_5x_2, x_2x_9, x_9x_1\}$$

which gives birth to x_5 and x_9. Every vertex born on level 1 gains 2 more edges.

For $n \geq 2$, from each diamond born on level $n-1$, 4 diamonds are born at level n. For each of the diamonds born on level n, 2 vertices of degree 2 are born at level n. Every vertex born on level $n-1$, gains 2 more edges. Every vertex born on level $n-2$, gains 2^2 more edges. In general, every vertex born on level $n-k$, gains 2^k more edges for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

From this construction, we see that at level n, for $n \geq 1$, there are 4^{k-1} diamonds born at level k, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $2 \cdot 4^{k-1}$ vertices born at level k, $2 \leq k \leq n$ and 4 vertices born at level 1. Thus, the G_n network has

$$4 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} 2 \cdot 4^{k-1} = \frac{(4 + 2 \cdot 4^n)}{3} \text{ vertices, as desired.}$$

In the G_n network, the 4 vertices born at level 1 have degree

$$2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2^j = 2^n,$$

which verifies item (ii) of the Proposition.
In the G_n network, the $2 \cdot 4^{k-1}$ vertices born on level k, $2 \leq k \leq n$, have degree

$$2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} 2^j = 2^{n-k+1}.$$

changing indices, this verifies item (i) of the Lemma. □

Corollary 5.10. *For the G_n network of the Diamond fractal, we have*

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{|V_n|} d_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{|V_n|} d_i} = 2^{\frac{1}{9}\left(2 \cdot 4^{n+1} - 6n - 17\right)}. \tag{14}$$

We now return to a proof the the main theorem of this section.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. We apply Theorem 3.4. In [3], they carry out spectral decimation for the Diamond fractal. In our language, they showed that

$$A = \{2\}, \text{ and } B = \{1\}.$$

For $n \geq 1$, the following hold:

(I) $\alpha = 2$, $\alpha_n = 1$
(II) $\beta = 1$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \frac{4^{n-k}+2}{3} & k = 0, \ldots, n-1 \\ 0 & k = n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$R(z) = 2z(2-z).$$

So $d = 2$, $Q(0) = 1$, and $P_d = -2$. We now use Equation 3 in Theorem 3.4 to calculate $\tau(G_n)$.

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} \alpha^{\alpha_n} = 2^1 \tag{15}$$

$$\prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\beta^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_n^k \left(2^k - 1\right)} \right) = 2^{-\frac{1}{9}(2 \cdot 4^n - 6n - 2)} \tag{16}$$

the relevant summation is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{4^{n-k} + 2}{3} \right) \left(2^k - 1\right) = \frac{1}{9} \left(2 \cdot 4^n - 6n - 2\right).$$

Combining this with Corollary 5.10, we have that

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{\frac{2}{3}(4^n - 1)} \qquad n \geq 1$$

as desired. □

Corollary 5.11. *The asymptotic growth constant for the Diamond fractal is*

$$c = log(2) \tag{17}$$

5.4. **Hexagasket.** The hexagasket, is also known as the hexakun, a polygasket, a 6-gasket, or a $(2, 2, 2)$-gasket, see [4, 29, 1, 10, 40, 42, 49, 50]. The V_1 network of the hexagasket is shown in the figure below.



FIGURE 4. The V_1 network of the Hexagasket.

Theorem 5.12. *The number of spanning trees on the Hexagasket at level n is given by*

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{f_n} \cdot 3^{g_n} \cdot 7^{h_n} \qquad n \geq 0.$$

where

$$f_n = \frac{1}{225}\left(27 \cdot 6^{n+1} - 100 \cdot 4^n - 60n - 62\right)$$
$$g_n = \frac{1}{25}\left(4 \cdot 6^{n+1} + 5n + 1\right)$$
$$h_n = \frac{1}{25}\left(6^n - 5n - 1\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.12. We apply Theorem 3.4. From [4] it is known that

$$|V_n| = \frac{(6 + 9 \cdot 6^n)}{5} \qquad n \geq 0,$$

of these vertices, $\frac{6(6^n-1)}{5}$ have degree 4, and the remaining $\frac{(12+3\cdot 6^n)}{5}$ have degree 2. So we compute

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{|V_n|} d_j} = 2^{(3\cdot 6^n - n - 1)} \cdot 3^{-(n+1)} \tag{18}$$

for $n \geq 0$.
In [4], they use a result from [3] to carry out spectral decimation for the Hexagasket. We note that in [4] Theorem 6.1 (v) and (vi), the bounds on k should be $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and in (vii) the bounds should be $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. This can be verified using Table 2 in the same paper. In our language they showed that

$$A = \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \right\}, \text{ and } B = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4}, \frac{3-\sqrt{2}}{4} \right\},$$

and for $n \geq 2$ the following hold:

(I) $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, $\quad \alpha_n = \frac{(6+4\cdot 6^n)}{5}$,
(II) $\beta = 1$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0, \ldots, n-1 \\ 0 & k = n, \end{cases}$$

(III) $\beta = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \frac{(6+4\cdot 6^{n-k-1})}{5} & k = 0, \ldots, n-1 \\ 0 & k = n, \end{cases}$$

(IV) $\beta = \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4}, \frac{3-\sqrt{2}}{4}$,

$$\beta_n^k = \begin{cases} \frac{(6^{n-k-1}-1)}{5} & k = 0, \ldots, n-2 \\ 0 & k = n-1, n, \end{cases}$$

$$R(z) = \frac{2z(z-1)(7-24z+16z^2)}{(2z-1)}.$$

So $d = 4$, $Q(0) = -1$ and $P_d = 32$.
We now use equation 3 in Theorem 3.4 to calculate $\tau(G_n)$. The relevant sums are

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(4^k - 1)}{3} = \frac{(4^n - 3n - 1)}{9} \tag{19}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(6 + 4 \cdot 6^{n-k-1})}{5} = \frac{2 \cdot (2 \cdot 6^n + 15n - 2)}{25} \tag{20}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(6+4\cdot 6^{n-k-1})}{5}\frac{(4^k-1)}{3} = \frac{(6^{n+1}-30n-6)}{75} \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(6^{n-k-1}-1)}{5} = \frac{(6^n-5n-1)}{25} \tag{22}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(6^{n-k-1}-1)}{5}\frac{(4^k-1)}{3} = \frac{(9\cdot 6^n-25\cdot 4^n+30n+16)}{450} \tag{23}$$

Combining these using equations 3 and 18, after simplifying we get

$$\tau(G_n) = 2^{f_n}\cdot 3^{g_n}\cdot 7^{h_n} \qquad n \geq 2.$$

Where f_n, g_n, and h_n are as claimed.
For n=1, equation 18 still holds and from [4] we know the eigenvalues of the probabilistic graph Laplacian on V_1 are $\{1, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 0\}$. So by Theorem 2.1, we get that $\tau(G_1) = 2^2\cdot 3^6$, thus the theorem holds for $n = 1$. The G_0 network is the complete graph on 3 vertices, thus $\tau(G_0) = 3$. Hence the theorem holds for all $n \geq 0$. □

Corollary 5.13. *The asymptotic growth constant for the Hexagasket is*

$$c = \frac{2\cdot log(2)}{5} + \frac{8\cdot log(3)}{15} + \frac{log(7)}{45} \tag{24}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Alexander Teplyaev, Robert S. Strichartz, Elmar Teufl, Benjamin Steinhurst, Anders Karlsson and Anders Öberg for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Adams, S. A. Smith, R. S. Strichartz, and A. Teplyaev. The spectrum of the Laplacian on the pentagasket. In *Fractals in Graz 2001*, Trends Math., pages 1–24. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
[2] J. Anema. Counting spanning trees on fractal graphs. `arXiv:1211.7341v1`, 2012.
[3] N. Bajorin, T. Chen, A. Dagan, C. Emmons, M. Hussein, M. Khalil, P. Mody, B. Steinhurst, and A. Teplyaev. Vibration modes of $3n$-gaskets and other fractals. *J. Phys. A*, 41(1):015101, 21, 2008.
[4] N. Bajorin, T. Chen, A. Dagan, C. Emmons, M. Hussein, M. Khalil, P. Mody, B. Steinhurst, and A. Teplyaev. Vibration spectra of finitely ramified, symmetric fractals. *Fractals*, 16(3):243–258, 2008.
[5] J. Bellissard. Renormalization group analysis and quasicrystals. In *Ideas and methods in quantum and statistical physics (Oslo, 1988)*, pages 118–148. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
[6] O. Ben-Bassat, R. S. Strichartz, and A. Teplyaev. What is not in the domain of the Laplacian on Sierpinski gasket type fractals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 166(2):197–217, 1999.
[7] N. Biggs. *Algebraic graph theory*. Cambridge University Press, London, 1974. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 67.
[8] B. Bollobás. *Modern graph theory*, volume 184 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
[9] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph theory*, volume 244 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2008.
[10] B. Boyle, K. Cekala, D. Ferrone, N. Rifkin, and A. Teplyaev. Electrical resistance of N-gasket fractal networks. *Pacific J. Math.*, 233(1):15–40, 2007.
[11] T. Brown, R. Mallion, P. Pollak, and A. Roth. Some methods for counting the spanning trees in labelled molecular graphs, examined in relation to certain fullerenes. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 67(1–3):51 – 66, 1996. Chemistry and Discrete Mathematics.
[12] R. Burton and R. Pemantle. Local characteristics, entropy and limit theorems for spanning trees and domino tilings via transfer-impedances. *Ann. Probab.*, 21(3):1329–1371, 1993.

[13] S. Chaiken. A combinatorial proof of the all minors matrix tree theorem. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 3(3):319–329, 1982.
[14] S.-C. Chang, L.-C. Chen, and W.-S. Yang. Spanning trees on the Sierpinski gasket. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 126(3):649–667, 2007.
[15] S.-C. Chang and R. Shrock. Some exact results for spanning trees on lattices. *J. Phys. A*, 39(20):5653–5658, 2006.
[16] S.-C. Chang and W. Wang. Spanning trees on lattices and integral identities. *J. Phys. A*, 39(33):10263–10275, 2006.
[17] G. Chinta, J. Jorgenson, and A. Karlsson. Complexity and heights of tori. *Dynamical systems and group actions*, Contemp. Math., 567, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI:89–98, 2012.
[18] C. J. Colbourn. *The combinatorics of network reliability*. International Series of Monographs on Computer Science. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.
[19] B. J. Collings. Characteristic polynomials by diagonal expansion. *The American Statistitician*, 37(3), Aug. 1983.
[20] K. Dalrymple, R. S. Strichartz, and J. P. Vinson. Fractal differential equations on the Sierpinski gasket. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 5(2-3):203–284, 1999.
[21] D. Dhar. Theoretical studies of self-organized criticality. *Phys. A*, 369(1):29–70, 2006.
[22] C. M. Fortuin and P. W. Kasteleyn. On the random-cluster model. I. Introduction and relation to other models. *Physica*, 57:536–564, 1972.
[23] M. Fukushima and T. Shima. On a spectral analysis for the Sierpiński gasket. *Potential Anal.*, 1(1):1–35, 1992.
[24] Y. Gefen, A. Aharony, and B. B. Mandelbrot. Phase transitions on fractals. I. Quasilinear lattices. *J. Phys. A*, 16(6):1267–1278, 1983.
[25] Y. Gefen, A. Aharony, and B. B. Mandelbrot. Phase transitions on fractals. III. Infinitely ramified lattices. *J. Phys. A*, 17(6):1277–1289, 1984.
[26] Y. Gefen, A. Aharony, Y. Shapir, and B. B. Mandelbrot. Phase transitions on fractals. II. Sierpiński gaskets. *J. Phys. A*, 17(2):435–444, 1984.
[27] F. Harary and E. M. Palmer. *Graphical enumeration*. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
[28] K. Hare, B. Steinhurst, A. Teplyaev, and D. Zhou. Disconnected julia sets and gaps in the spectrum of laplacians on symmetric finitely ramified fractals. `arXiv:1105.1747v2`, 2011.
[29] J. Kigami. *Analysis on fractals*, volume 143 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
[30] G. Kirchhoff. Über die auflösung der gleichungen, auf welche man bei der untersuchung der linearen verteilung galvanischer ströme geführt wird. *Ann. Phys. Chem.*, 72:497–508, 1847.
[31] R. Lyons. Asymptotic enumeration of spanning trees. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 14(4):491–522, 2005.
[32] R. Lyons. Identities and inequalities for tree entropy. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 19(2):303–313, 2010.
[33] L. Malozemov and A. Teplyaev. Self-similarity, operators and dynamics. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 6(3):201–218, 2003.
[34] V. Metz. The short-cut test. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 220(1):118–156, 2005.
[35] R. Rammal. Spectrum of harmonic excitations on fractals. *J. Physique*, 45(2):191–206, 1984.
[36] F. Runge and H. Sachs. Berechnung der Anzahl der Gerüste von Graphen und Hypergraphen mittels deren Spektren. *Math. Balkanica*, 4:529–536, 1974. Papers presented at the Fifth Balkan Mathematical Congress (Belgrade, 1974).
[37] T. Shima. The eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian on the Sierpiński gasket. In *Asymptotic problems in probability theory: stochastic models and diffusions on fractals (Sanda/Kyoto, 1990)*, volume 283 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 279–288. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1993.
[38] T. Shima. On eigenvalue problems for Laplacians on p.c.f. self-similar sets. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 13(1):1–23, 1996.
[39] R. Shrock and F. Y. Wu. Spanning trees on graphs and lattices in d dimensions. *J. Phys. A*, 33(21):3881–3902, 2000.
[40] R. S. Strichartz. *Differential equations on fractals*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006. A tutorial.
[41] A. Teplyaev. Spectral analysis on infinite Sierpiński gaskets. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 159(2):537–567, 1998.
[42] A. Teplyaev. Harmonic coordinates on fractals with finitely ramified cell structure. *Canad. J. Math.*, 60(2):457–480, 2008.
[43] E. Teufl and S. Wagner. The number of spanning trees of finite sierpiński graphs. *In: Fourth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science*, Nancy:411–414, 2006.

[44] E. Teufl and S. Wagner. Enumeration problems for classes of self-similar graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 114(7):1254–1277, 2007.
[45] E. Teufl and S. Wagner. Determinant identities for laplace matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 432(1):441–457, 2010.
[46] E. Teufl and S. Wagner. The number of spanning trees in self-similar graphs. *Ann. Comb.*, 15(2):355–380, 2011.
[47] E. Teufl and S. Wagner. Resistance scaling and the number of spanning trees in self-similar lattices. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 142(4):879–897, 2011.
[48] K. Tsougkas. Lower bound of the asymptotic complexity of self-similar fractal graphs. `arXiv:1510.08511v1` , 2015.
[49] J. T. Tyson and J.-M. Wu. Characterizations of snowflake metric spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 30(2):313–336, 2005.
[50] J. T. Tyson and J.-M. Wu. Quasiconformal dimensions of self-similar fractals. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 22(1):205–258, 2006.
[51] W.-J. Tzeng and F. Y. Wu. Spanning trees on hypercubic lattices and nonorientable surfaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 13(7):19–25, 2000.
[52] D. J. A. Welsh. *Complexity: knots, colourings and counting*, volume 186 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[53] F. Y. Wu. Number of spanning trees on a lattice. *J. Phys. A*, 10(6):L113–L115, 1977.
[54] F. Y. Wu. The Potts model. *Rev. Modern Phys.*, 54(1):235–268, 1982.
[55] R. M. Ziff. Generalized cell–dual-cell transformation and exact thresholds for percolation. *Phys. Rev. E (3)*, 73(1):016134, 6, 2006.

JASON A. ANEMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
E-mail address: `jaa72@cornell.edu`

KONSTANTINOS TSOUGKAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `konstantinos.tsougkas@math.uu.se`

CURRENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY, USA